A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

| Item | Yes | Partly | No | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Email | syful.azlie@moh.gov.my | | | | | | | | |
| Name of person completing the checklist | SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI | | | | | | | | |
| Organization (acronym) | MaHTAS | | | | | | | | |
| Reference of report | HEPATITIS B AND HEPATITIS C SCREENING AMONG HIGH RISK GROUPS | | | | | | | | |

| Item | tem | | | | | | | | | artly | No | |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---------------|-----------|--|
| Preliminary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Appropriate contact details for further information? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Authors identified? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Statement regarding conflict of interest? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Short summary in non-technical language? | | | | | | | | | | | $\sqrt{}$ | |
| Why? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Reference to the research question that is addressed? | | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 8. Scope of the assessment specified? | | | | | | | | V | | | | |
| 9. Description of the assessed health technology? | | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| How? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Details on so | urces of | informatio | on and | l literature s | earch | strategies p | rovid | ed? | | | | |
| Search | Databases | | Year | | Language | | | Primary | | Other kind of | | |
| strategy | | | | range | | restriction | | data | | information | | |
| 2 | _ | -1 | | | | | | 2 | | resources | | |
| Complete refere | nce list | <u> </u> | Listo | List of | | Inclusion | | | √ | | Exclusion | |
| of included studies | | excluded studies | | | criteria | | | | criteria | | | |
| $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | | - | | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| 11. Information of | n basis f | or the ass | sessm | ent and inte | erpreta | ation of selec | cted d | lata and | infor | mation | ? | |
| Method of data | | | Critical appraisal | | | Method of data | | | Results of the | | | |
| extraction described? | | method (for quality assessment of the | | | synthesis described? | | | assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form | | | | |
| | | | | scribed? | | | | of evidence tables? | | | | |
| $\sqrt{}$ | | | | \checkmark | | | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | | |
| Context? | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Information o | n contex | t (may or i | may no | t apply to ea | ch HT | A). | | | | | | |
| (Medico-) legal | | Economic | | | Ethical Social | | | Other perspectives | | | | |
| implications considered? | | analysis provided? | | implications considered? | | implications considered? | | | (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? | | | |
| considered? | þi | ovided? √ | Considered? Considered? √ | | | eur | √ vorisumers) considered? | | | | | |
| What then? | | • | | • | | <u>'</u> | | Yes | Р | artly | No | |
| 13. Findings of the assessment discussed? | | | | | | | | √ | | - | | |
| 14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated? | | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 15. Suggestions for further action? | | | | | | | | √ | | | | |